IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 7498 of 1995

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.M.THAKER

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

ANANDSINH JUWANSINH GOHIL - Petitioner(s)
Versus
BHAVNAGAR MUNICIPAL CORPN - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :
MR JN JADEJA for Petitioner(s) : 1,
MR JR NANAVATI for Respondent(s) : 1,

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.M.THAKER

Date : 12/12/2007
ORAL JUDGMENT

In this petition, the order passed by respondent for pulling down the compound wall is challenged. It gives out from the petition that subsequently on 20.6.1995 pursuant to the said order

the compound wall was pulled down. After the wall was pulled down the petitioner had served notice through his advocate. Thereafter this petition is preferred praying inter alia that writ or direction directing the respondent to restore the compound wall around the tenement No. 7/B situate in Gulab Society, Bhavnagar be issued and the respondent may also be directed to pay Rs. 20,000 as compensation.

2. The petition was admitted by order dated 22.2.1996 and the petition has now come up for final hearing.

3. Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, Mr. JN Jadeja, advocate appears for petitioner and Mr. JR Nanavati, advocate appears for respondent. Mr. JN Jadeja, Advocate for petitioner submits that the matter has been settled between the parties and therefore he seeks to withdraw the petition. Mr. JR Nanavati, advocate who represents respondent No.1 has no objection as regards the request of Mr. Jadeja, advocate for petitioner. In view of the said request and no objection of Mr.

Nanavati, advocate, permission is granted. Petition stands disposed of as withdrawn. Rule is discharged. No order as to costs.

[K.M. Thaker, J.]

rmr.